

STABILITY OF VOCAL REPERTOIRE IN TWO OLDER NON-VERBAL CHILDREN WITH AUTISM

Maureen Maney Boner¹, Erin Andersson², & Barry Gordon^{2,3} ¹Loyola College in Maryland, Baltimore, MD; ²Cognitive Neurology/Neuropsychology, Dept of Neurology, ³Dept. of Cognitive Science, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD



Abstract

Few studies have investigated the nature and temporal evolution of vocalizations produced by older non-verbal children with autism, although these vocalizations might be important as precursors of speech. Previously, we (Boner et al. IMFAR, 2004) reported a retrospective analysis of spontaneous vocalizations of AI (at age 12) and SR (at age 8). Some speech patterns were consistent with those of typically developing infants, vet some deficits suggested impairments in specific muscle groups used in sound production. The current study examined recordings from the same two subjects for any changes after a four-year interval, following participation in different training programs. Results to date show that AI, who was in the process of becoming verbal as the result of an intensive speech-training program (see O'Grady et al., IMFAR 2005), showed an increase in the number and complexity of sound and syllable types produced. SR, in a traditional training program, showed stability in vowel use, but a decline in the number of consonants, syllable combinations, and complexity of syllable forms.

Objectives

To compare the subjects' vocalizations from the first study period, Interval 1 (Int1), to the second study period (Int2), 4 years later, for any changes in: •Phonemic repertoire within and between subjects •Frequency, variety, and/or modality of communicative intent •Frequency or variety of intentional vocalizations.

Subjects

Methods

AI: age12 at Int1; 16 at Int2 SR: age 8 at Int1; 12 at Int2 Both low-functioning males had a diagnosis of autism (diagnoses made independently). At the start of analyses, both were nonverbal and communicated almost exclusively using Picture Communication Symbols. as well as emotional expressions (guttural sounds, cries, squeals, and screams). Neither had learned any sign or gestural system effectively. Between the intervals studied, AI participated in an intensive speech-training program (see O'Grady et al., IMFAR 2005); SR participated in more traditional training focused on functional communication and skill training.

For each subject, two hours of videotapes from different types of activities (therapy sessions, breaks, and ADLs) were analyzed as previously described (Boner et al, IMFAR, 2004). Two speech-language pathologists (MMB and EA) coded gestures and transcribed vocalizations. 75% of the sessions were coded by both using the Noldus Observer 5.0* and checked for inter-rater reliability. Results were compared with previous data.

Results (Supplemental material in handouts)

Muscles Used in Production Characteristics of Speech Sounds Produced •Both added sounds produced with the primary jaw elevators (temporalis, Number of different vowels produced remained relatively stable masseter, medial pterygoid) Al: 14 (Int1 & 2) SR: 9 (Int1), 8 (Int2) •SR continued to have difficulty with sounds requiring simultaneous contraction •Number of different consonants remained stable for one subject and of the vertical intrinsic muscles and genioglossus extrinsic muscles of the tongue declined for the other and those requiring simultaneous contraction of superior longitudinal and AI: 15 (Int1), 17 (Int2) SR: 10 (Int1), 5 (Int2) styloglossus muscles of the tongue. ·Both added alveolar and labiodental sounds to their repertoire; AI also added linguadentals Communicative Intent AI: /s,z/, /f/, /ð/ SR: /s/, /v/ •Relative frequency of intentional communication increased significantly for both ·Both added obstruents, continuants, sibilants, and non-sibilants subjects Al: Int1: 51 instances in 11 hours of tape SR: 28 instances in 8 hours Al: /f,p,ð,s,z/, /ð,f,s,z/, /z,s/, /f,ð,p/ SR: /v,s/, /s/, /s/, /v/ Int2: 412 instances in 2 hours of tape Int2: 205 instances in 2 hours •Syllables containing /j/ ("y") remained the most frequently produced for both subjects •Frequency, variety, and complexity of communications expanded to include imitation, response to questions, and word approximations •Al increased the number of consonants and the complexity of syllables used, while SR used less complex syllable forms; most were AI: 199 imitated & 55 responsive word approximations; 80 imitated vocalizations open syllables (i.e., ending in vowels) SR: 80 responses via manual communication board; 25 imitated gestures; 28 Al: Int1 ex: ij,ji,je,ju SR: Int1 ex: ji,ij,,,ji,gj1 spontaneous informal gestures Int2: ija,ijei,ijʌ,nijʌ,dijʌia,wijʊ,jeja,jejai Int2: ji, jA

Discussion

Despite the ages of the subjects at the time of these analyses (SR 12, AI 16), changes were observed over the four-year interval in the frequency and variety of vocalizations and in intentional vocal communication skills. While participating in a traditional educational program that focused on non-verbal communication and assistive device use, SR showed a decline in the complexity and variety of sounds in vocalizations, yet an increase in gestural imitation and manual communication board use. Al, who participated in an intensive home program emphasizing speech production, showed improvement in the range and frequency of his sound productions (O'Grady et al., IMFAR, 2004.2005, report treatment methods and AI's further progress in the year after the period analyzed here). Al had progressed to the point of making whole-word approximations. These approximations were characterized by groping for articulatory postures, perseveration on previous productions, deleted syllables, reversed sequence of sounds, and inconsistent distortions and substitutions. With both subjects, the pattern of vocal productions was more consistent with disordered development, not with simple delays in development of articulatory capacity. However, Al's data suggest that the effects of this disordered development might be overcome, at least in part, by appropriate therapeutic focus.

Acknowledgements: Many thanks to the participating subjects and their families.

Presented at IMFAR, Boston, 2005 Presen Support: This investigation was supported in part by an anonymous donor, The Therapeutic Cognitive Neuroscience Research Fund, and by The Benjamin A. Miller Family Endowment for Aging, Alzheimer's Disease and Autism * Noldus Information Technology, Leesburg, Virginia; www.noldus.com